Emergence of spatial structure in growing biofilms and its implications for evolution

> Rosalind Allen Theoretical Microbial Ecology Friedrich-Schiller University of Jena School of Physics and Astronomy University of Edinburgh

6th Edwards Symposium – Soft Matter for the 21st Century 9th September 2022

Bacterial biofilms

A beautiful example of multicellular self-assembly driven by non-equilibrium processes

and

a major cause of clinical infections that may be a source of antibiotic resistance









Question 1: what controls biofilm spatial structure?

i.e. whether interface is rough or smooth

Nutrient limitation



Mechanical interactions



Farrell et al 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett.

Dockery and Clapper 2002 SIAM J. Appl. Math.

Question 2: what controls biofilm genetic diversity?

how does biofilm structure influence evolution?

are biofilms a source of antibiotic resistance?



"Individual-based computer simulations"

Bacteria are represented as disk-shaped particles (2D) Nutrient is represented as a concentration field Bacteria consume nutrient, grow and divide Bacteria push each other out of the way

Nutrient diffuses from above and is consumed by bacteria



L.A. Lardon et al., Environ. Microbiol., 13 (2011) 2416

Bacterial dynamics

Growth

- Cells expand as they consume food
- Growth rate is function of local nutrient concentration
- Divide in 2 once they reach a threshold radius (with some stochasticity)

Mechanical interactions

- At each timestep, detect cell-cell overlaps
- Move cells apart to resolve overlaps (random order)



dius (with some stochasticity)

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mu_{max} \frac{S}{k_{s} + S} X_{t}$

L.A. Lardon et al., Environ. Microbiol., 13 (2011) 2416

Nutrient dynamics

- Nutrient diffuses from above and is consumed by bacteria
- Assume nutrient diffuses fast compared to bacterial growth
- Solve steady state of reaction-diffusion equations (multigrid method)



L.A. Lardon et al., Environ. Microbiol., 13 (2011) 2416

Typical simulation output



"steady state" of growth reached after initial period

only a few bacteria are growing

biofilm morphology depends on parameters

Active layer: a key concept in biofilm growth



Does the thickness of the active layer control biofilm structure?

- thick active layer: smooth biofilm
- thin active layer: rough biofilm

Nadell 2010 PLOS Comp. Bio.

Computational challenge

need long-time simulations to find steady-state behaviour but number of bacteria quickly becomes unmanageable

solution: periodic "clipping"

- define lowest point in active layer and interface
- clip the configuration, keep only bacteria above this height
- re-initiate the simulation

makes long-time biofilm simulations computationally feasible





Question 1:

what controls biofilm spatial structure?

key concept: interface pinning



Run long time simulations varying nutrient conc and max growth rate

Max growth rate —



Nutrient concentration

Key result: we see three distinct "phases" of spatial structure

Unpinned Phase Transiently pinned Phase Pinned Phase



no gaps in active layer interface does not pin roughness is low

transient gaps transient pinning roughness fluctuates permanent gaps sustained pinning roughness increases

Interface pinning arises from active layer gap dynamics





interface pins when a small bulge is engulfed

Can we make a phase diagram for interface pinning?



Control parameter

what's the control parameter? what's the order parameter?

Order parameter: quantify difference between the phases

Pinned interface fraction =

length of non-moving interface

total interface length



Control parameter: tune the transition

multiple simulation parameters are involved-> do they act via the active layer?

-> active layer thickness as a possible control parameter?

Candidate phase diagram: active layer thickness – pinned interface fraction



1e2

0

500

Width μm

1e-4 3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

1000

But the active layer is also dynamic. Maybe fluctuations are important?

Candidate phase diagram: active layer thickness stdev/mean



active layer thickness stdev/mean is a better control parameter suggests active layer fluctuations are important

-> a spontaneous interface pinning transition in a non-equilibrium system

apparently driven by dynamical fluctuations in the active layer

nutrient limitation

mechanical interactions



creation of active layer gaps?

closure of active layer gaps?



Question 2:

what controls biofilm genetic diversity?

Standing diversity = genetic variation of founder cells

Biofilms seeded from diverse populations e.g. marine or soil environments

standing diversity decreases in time



De novo diversity = genetic variation due to mutations

might encode antibiotic resistance

de novo diversity increases in time

how does spatial structure affect the balance between standing and *de novo* diversity?



Standing diversity: colour the lineage of each founder cell

unpinned phase



transiently pinned phase



pinned phase



pinned phase -> more lineage loss

Thin active layer: more stochastic fluctuations



Lineage loss when the interface pins x^{10^5}





De novo diversity:

use lineage length as a proxy - long lineages accumulate more mutations



75,000 cells

pinned phase -> longer lineages

(c)

Thin active layer: longer lineages division events concentrated in fewer cells 3.0





also some local effects

Conclusions

Biofilms undergo a spontaneous pinning transition

Active layer dynamics are important in this

Patterns of genetic diversity are also controlled by the active layer

Ongoing questions

Statistical physics of populations with "active layer"

More realistic biofilm models flow, polymer matrix, mutant fitness advantage...

Ellen Young

Gavin Melaugh









National Biofilms Innovation Centre

BALANCE OF THE MICROVERSE

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft