# Subglacial soft matter

Kasia Warburton<sup>1</sup>, Jerome A. Neufeld<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Duncan Hewitt<sup>5</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, NH <sup>2</sup>Institute of Theoretical Geophysics, DAMTP, University of Cambridge <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Bullard Laboratories, University of Cambridge <sup>4</sup>Centre for Environmental and Industrial Flows, University of Cambridge <sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, University College London



#### DARTMOUTH



Natural Environment Research Council



## Motivation: understanding ice sheets

"The ice sheets on Greenland and Antarctica contain most of the fresh water on the Earth's surface. As a consequence, they have the greatest potential to cause changes in sea level."





Mass change of the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets IPCC 2019 – Special Report on Oceans and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate

Metres of ice lost per year since 2003 Smith et al. (2020) Science

- 🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu
- 🍯 @KasiaWarburton

## Large uncertainties

"There is a need to better understand the evolution of polar glaciers and ice sheets, and their influences on global sea level."

- IPCC 2019



## Glaciers and ice streams



(c) Ice deformation (U<sub>F</sub>), basal sliding (U<sub>S</sub>) and subglacial deformation (U<sub>n</sub>)



From Boulton (1996)

- 🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu
- 🍯 @KasiaWarburton

## Ice sheet boundary conditions

- Huge model domain 4500km wide
- Small scale features detailed topography, shear bands on 1km scale
- Need to summarise sliding into a convenient function

$$u_b = f(\tau_b, N)$$

• How do we understand the dynamics sediment to translate into this functional form? What are the key parameters?

(c) Ice deformation ( $U_F$ ), basal sliding ( $U_S$ ) and subglacial deformation ( $U_D$ )



From Boulton (1996)

🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

🍠 @KasiaWarburton

## Subglacial till

### Some extremely large clasts



Evans et al. (2006) Earth Sci Rev

A majority of finer particles sands, clays

Beneath ice:
water-saturated
(close to
pressure-melting
point)

Grain size	Abundance
Clays (<63µm)	~60%
Sand (63µm-2mm)	~30%
Gravel (>2mm)	~10%

Approximate grain size distribution, core samples from Western Amundsen Sea Smith et al. (2011) Quart Sci Rev

### Experimental data



6 hour time-lapse of till under ice Hansen & Zoet (2022) JGR



@KasiaWarburton

#### 82° W 84' W 82° W 84° W 77.5° S 78° S 78.5' 5 79' S 50 km 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 -0.30 -0.15 0.00 0.15 0.30 Horizontal speed (m/day) Along-flow tidal variability (m/day) ent (m) 10 20 25 15 30 35 Time (days)

Surface velocity variations – in time and space

Tidal variation of the Rutford Ice Stream Minchew et al. (2017) JGR Other uncertainties – ice rheology, topography, etc



Modelled surface velocities in Antarctica Athern et al. (2015) JGR

#### 🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

🍯 @KasiaWarburton

Borehole (in-situ) data



Ice surface velocity and borehole pressure head, Sermeq Kujalleq Doyle et al. (2021) J. Glac.



Profiles of till displacement at hourly intervals, Breidamerkurjokull Boulton & Dobbie (1998) PRSA

Spatially limited information – expensive field campaigns

#### Formerly glaciated regions



Bedforms in the Amundsen Sea Embayment Hogan et al. (2020) Cryosphere

#### A snapshot in time – must reconstruct ice conditions

# Subglacial till – a water-saturated granular material



## Want to capture:

- Steady rheology
- Response to time-dependent forcing
- Flow of water through granular matrix
- Profiles of pressure, porosity, and displacement
- Continuum description



## Viscous granular rheology

• Viscous inertial number parametrises friction coefficient and solid fraction

$$I_
u = rac{\eta \dot{\gamma}}{N}$$

- Yield stress linear in effective pressure
- Shear rate increases with shear stress above yield

 $\tau = \mu_1 N + M \sqrt{\eta \dot{\gamma} N}$ 

Solid fraction decreases with shear rate

$$\phi = \frac{\phi_m}{1 + b\sqrt{\frac{\eta \dot{\gamma}}{N}}}$$



## Comparison to subglacial till

- Yield stress linear in effective pressure
- Shear rate increases with shear above yield stress

$$\tau = \mu_1 N + f(\dot{\gamma}, N) \implies \dot{\gamma} = F(\tau - \mu_1 N, N)$$



lverson (2010) J. Glac.



Use depth profiles to fit rheological parameters

🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

Boulton & Dobbie (1998) PRSA

🍯 @KasiaWarburton

## Dilatant strengthening



## Modelling shear dilation

• Shear rate/effective pressure sets change in solid fraction

$$\phi = \phi(I_{\nu})$$

• Water flows into the changing pore space

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( v_s \phi \right) = 0$$

• Darcy's law links flow to water pressure

$$-\frac{k}{\eta(1-\phi)}\left(\frac{\partial p_w}{\partial z} - \rho_f g\right) = (v_f - v_s)$$

• Water pressure alters effective pressure and feeds back into shear rate through rheology



## Model results



## Response to periodic forcing



Obtain a timedependent sliding law (small amplitude case is diffusional)

🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

(a)KasiaWarburton

## Jamming under compaction

- Compaction drives water out of pore space
- Higher water pressure, lower N
- Initially flow sustained near constant rate

- Shutdown happens rapidly
- Complex pattern of behaviour from simple forcing



## Slip-stick motion at Whillans

- ~30 mins of rapid motion per day
- Elasticity of both grain contacts and ice may play a role
- Modelling very sensitive to mean effective pressure potential for sudden ice stream speed-up



Tidally-paced slip-stick motion of the Whillans Ice Stream Winbury et al. (2014) J.Glac.



## Erosion and bedforms

- Relatively\* accessible record of past deformation and till transport
- Beginning to get corresponding record from present-day glaciers (radar etc)
- Quantitative test of till deformation models
  - Wide range of different patterns (flow aligned and cross-flow)
  - Strong wavelength selection





Drumlins Sookhan et al. (2021) Q. Sci Rev

🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

@KasiaWarburton

Hogan et al. (2020) Cryosphere

## Water flow and till erosion

- How do mega-scale lineations form?
- New hydrology data from below active ice streams



Crests appear to be a wetter or softer material Muto et al. (2019) EPSL



Clear wavelength – instability mechanism? Spagnolo et al. (2017) JGR



Sediment flux can be non-monotonic in effective pressure Hansen & Zoet (2022) JGR

- 🖾 Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu
- 🈏 @KasiaWarburton

## Phase change within till

Where is the bed of an ice stream?

The ice-till interface is not a simple region



Model for frozen fringe depth in static sediment Meyer et al. (2019) EPSL



Fringe growth in ring shear device Hansen & Zoet (2022) JGR

Frozen fringe influences bed strength, permeability, sediment transport

## Feedback between bed and ice

- Classical result: ice sheets are unstable to runaway retreat
- Topographic pinning drag reduces ice flux and thinning
- Till transport towards grounding line develops into a wedge
- Wedge may help to stabilise ice depending on strength



Internal structure of a grounding zone wedge Batchelor & Dowdeswell (2015) Marine Geology



Viscous model of wedge formation Kowal and Worster (2020) JFM

## Tidal landforms



Ribbed ridges at the Thwaites grounding line Graham et al. (2022) Nature Geosci.

Ongoing modelling work with Kelly Hogan (BAS) and Ali Graham (USF)

- Apparent signature of extremely rapid tidally-modulated ice sheet retreat
- Formation mechanism how do we think about sediment behaviour on these timescales
  - Erosion (dunes)?
  - Deposition (grounding zone wedges)?
  - Extrusion (footprints, moraines)?



Tiny structures – 6m wide, 50cm tall 14-landform periodicity to spacing and amplitude

Kasia.Warburton@dartmouth.edu

## Final thoughts





- Ideas from fluid dynamics/ granular flows have natural applications in glaciology
- Rapidly increasing data availability in fast-changing climate
- Important impact for understanding ice sheet futures

With thanks to: Jerome Neufeld, Duncan Hewitt, Brent Minchew, Ali Graham, Kelly Hogan

