

Outline and Summary of INI Research Programme 'Uncertainty Quantification for Complex Systems: Theory and Methodologies'

Peter Challenor

- ▶ Uncertainty quantification is the estimation and management of 'error' in the use of a computer code to simulate a real world system
- ▶ This error may result from uncertain inputs to the model.
- ▶ Or from the approximations (discretisation) used to go from the mathematics to the computer code
- ▶ Or from deficiencies in the original mathematical formulation (inverse modelling)

Different Approaches

- ▶ Two communities have studied these problems
- ▶ The applied mathematics/numerical analysis community
- ▶ The statistics community
- ▶ Both approach the problem from different perspectives

Programme Aim

The main aim of the programme is to bring applied mathematicians and statisticians together to formulate a common mathematical foundation for UQ and to establish long-lasting interactions that will lead to significant advances in UQ theory and methodologies for complex systems.

Programme Organisers

- ▶ Catherine Powell - University of Manchester
- ▶ Max Gunzburger - Florida State University
- ▶ Henry Wynn - London School of Economics
- ▶ Peter Challenor - University of Exeter

Programme Participants

- ▶ About 100 participants
- ▶ Average time at the INI 29 days
- ▶ About half from statistics; about half from applied maths/numerical analysis

Workshops

We held four workshops:

- ▶ Key UQ methodologies and motivating applications
- ▶ Surrogate models for UQ in complex systems
- ▶ Reducing dimensions and cost for UQ in complex systems
- ▶ UQ for inverse problems in complex systems

and two open for business days

- ▶ Taming Uncertainty in Mathematical Models Used in the Private and Public Sectors
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification for Complex Systems - Development in Theory and Methodologies

Themes

- ▶ Surrogate models
- ▶ Multilevel, multi-scale, and multi-fidelity methods
- ▶ Dimension reduction methods
- ▶ Inverse UQ methods
- ▶ Careful and fair comparisons

Today's Talks

- ▶ Surrogate Modelling - Max Gunzburger
- ▶ Design of Computational and Physical Experiments for Uncertainty Quantification - Dave Woods
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification in Inverse Problems - Aretha Teckentrup
- ▶ Multilevel and Multi-Fidelity Methods - Richard Wilkinson

Why no careful and fair comparisons

- ▶ One of our original themes was to produce careful and fair comparisons
- ▶ We found that this was almost impossible as the different methods actually tackled different, complementary problems
- ▶ Understanding different approaches and methods

Some differences

- ▶ The applied mathematics/numerical analysis approach uses intimate knowledge of the form of the PDE to produce bounds on the error.
- ▶ The statistical approach treats the PDE as a 'Black Box' and models this as a random function giving a distribution for the error.
- ▶ These are very difficult to compare.

Some differences

- ▶ The applied mathematics/numerical analysis approach uses intimate knowledge of the form of the PDE to produce bounds on the error.
- ▶ The statistical approach treats the PDE as a 'Black Box' and models this as a random function giving a distribution for the error.
- ▶ These are very difficult to compare.
- ▶ But we are working on it

Personal Reflections

- ▶ It's been great fun (and still two weeks to go!!)
- ▶ Treating models as 'grey boxes'
- ▶ Combining the best from each approach