

Food Standards Agency

- Independent government department
- No minister links to parliament through health ministers
- Set up in 2000 and makes use of mathematics across the remit
- Covering in this presentation
 - Radiological protection
 - Campylobacter
 - Norovirus modelling
 - Plans for big data analytics



Assessing the impacts of radiological releases into the environment

- When a nuclear facility releases radioactive material into the environment (as part of routine operations or an accidental release), it has the potential to contaminate food.
- Radiological protection is based on the assessment of dose from all pathways.
- The Environment Agency will consult the FSA on the proposed emissions.
- The FSA has a number of models to assess the impact on food safety.



food.gov.uk

Mathematical models used



Atmospheric Dispersion

$$C_{x,y,z} = \frac{Q_s}{2\pi\sigma_y\sigma_z U} e^{\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}} \left(e^{-\frac{(z-z_s)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}} + e^{-\frac{(z+z_s)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}} + e^{-\frac{(z+2h-z_s)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}} \right)$$
$$+ e^{-\frac{(z-2h+z_s)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}} + e^{-\frac{(z-2h-z_s)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}} \right)$$

 $C_{x,y,z}$ = concentration of contaminant at co-ordinate x,y,z

- Q_s = source strength (per unit time)
- z_s = height of the source
- σ_v = transverse dispersion parameter
- σ_z = vertical dispersion parameter
- U = wind speed

- _____ function of travel time,
 - buoyancy and turbulence
- h = atmospheric boundary layer height (varies depending on season diurnal cycle, weather conditions and physical geography)

Uptake of contaminant by plants

$$U = 2\pi a \Delta z \rho_r \frac{F_m C_{solution}}{R_m + C_{solution}}$$

- U = plant uptake (mole $m^{-2} y^{-1}$)
- a = root radius (m)
- $\Delta z =$ soil layer thickness (m)
- ρ_r = total plant-root length per unit volume (m)
- F_m = maximum specific flux across the root surface (mole m⁻² y⁻¹)
- C_{solution} = contaminant concentration in solution (mole m⁻³)
- R_m = calibration constant equal to the concentration in the soil solution at which the specific flux across the root surface is half its maximum value (mole m⁻³) – determined by experiment



Calculate dose to consumer

$$D = M A_{concentration} e_{ingestion}$$

D = Dose to consumer in sieverts (Sv)
M = Quantity of food consumed (kg) – determined by habit surveys
A_{concentration} = Activity concentration in food
in becquerels per kilogram (Bq / kg)
e_{ingestion} = Dose coefficient for ingestion (Sv / Bq)
which is dependent on the radionuclide of interest
and age group of consumer



Probabilistic Modelling

- These models have been developed over a period of 30 years.
- Continually looking for improvements most recent development is probabilistic modelling.
- Various input parameters can be given as normal or log-normal distributions.
- O_{L} is a probabilistic distribution.



Verification with monitoring

- The FSA regularly monitors food produced around nuclear facilities in the UK.
- Data from the FSA is combined with the environment agencies (EA, SEPA, NIEA) ar published annually in the Radioactivity in Fc and Environment Report.
- These results confirm that the levels of contamination in the environment are low ar the doses to consumers are far below legal limits.



RIFE - 19



• More details can be found on the FSA website:

http://www.food.gov.uk/science/research/radiologicalresearch/radiosur



Campylobacter and chicken

- Campylobacter is the most common cause of food poisoning in the UK accounting for an estimated 280,000 cases each year.
- Work undertaken by the FSA in-house analytical team found that poultry was the main source of *Campylobacter* from food.
 - Based on outbreak data compiled by the UK's surveillance bodies;
 - Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) comparing human isolates to those from the environment, wildlife and farm animals.
 - Handling, preparation and consumption of broiler meat may account for 20% to 30% of human cases of campylobacteriosis, while 50% to 80% may be attributed to the chicken reservoir as a whole.
- In addition a 2008 EFSA survey found 75% of UK batches were contaminated, with 27% of carcases being in the higher, and more risky, contamination bands at the end of slaughter.

Food source	<i>Campylobacter</i> outbreaks over three calendar years (2011-13)
Poultry	85%
Red Meat	5%
Milk & dairy	5%
Dessert	5%
Eggs	0%
Fruit & Veg	0%
Fish	0%
Shell-fish	0%
Rice	0%



Target level of reduction

- A target has been agreed jointly between government and industry to reduce Campylobacter in UK produced chicken.
 - Based on mathematical modelling undertaken internally by the FSA.
- The target is monitored through sampling using a banding approach, according to *Campylobacter* counts in chicken.
 - <100 cfu/g, 100-1,000 cfu/g, and >1,000 cfu/g.
- The target focuses on decreasing the proportion of birds in the most contaminated group.
- THE TARGET: Reduce the percentage of highly contaminated chickens in UK poultry slaughterhouses from 27% to 10%.



The model

- <u>Monte Carlo simulation</u> model constructed to simulate the effects of the chicken production process on an average bird from growing on farm and through the slaughterhouse.
 - Based on the CODEX web-based tool.
- Data on the effects of standard production processes and potential interventions on *Campylobacter* counts were incorporated into the model.
 - Sourced from a combination of available research, monitoring and surveys, and from the expert opinion of members of the group.
 - Assumptions were made where data was limited.
- A range of interventions were evaluated to give indications of the potential size of reductions.
- Results of the model were used to frame discussions as to what might be an achievable, realistic and challenging target.



chicken production process

Model results

Scenarios modelled

	Intervention	<i>Campylobacter</i> counts (cfu/g; post chill)		ınts)
		<100	100 - 1,000	>1,000
One intervention	Model Baseline	39%	33%	28%
	On farm - risk of contamination reduced by 50% per day	56%	24%	20%
	On farm - risk of contamination reduced by 25% per day	45%	30%	25%
	Slaughterhouse - electrolysed water	81%	16%	3%
	Slaughterhouse - lactic acid	78%	18%	4%
	Slaughterhouse - hot water	67%	23%	10%
	Slaughterhouse - Steam	71%	19%	10%
Two interventions	On farm + electrolysed water	86%	12%	2%
	On farm + lactic acid	84%	13%	3%
	On farm + hot water	66%	27%	7%
	On farm + steam	79%	14%	7%

Final scenario agreed and used to set target

Intervention	<i>Campylobacter</i> counts (cfu/g; post chill)			
	<100	100 - 1,000	>1,000	
On farm + representative slaughterhouse intervention	68%	22%	10%	
· · · •				Foo



TWITTER – NOROVIRUS MODEL How it Works

- 1. Early-warning tool on Norovirus spikes helps decide when to intervene
- 2. Set of words relating to Norovirus symptoms generated
- Weekly use volumes of words collected using social media listening software
- 4. Fortnightly changes in word use/lab reports calculated
- 5. Word use volumes lagged by four weeks to allow model to be predictive
- 6. Correlations between lagged word use volumes and lab reports calculated
- 7. Words with significant correlation used in logistic regression model
- 8. Logistic model predicts if there will be a significant rise or not in persence fortnight

TWITTER – NOROVIRUS MODEL The Maths

- 1. FACTOR ANALYSIS: Used to group approximately 70 different keywords into 10 groups of similarly correlated words (often with similar subject too)
- 2. BIVARIATE LOGISTIC REGRESSION: Uses changes in keyword groups to predict whether or not there is to be a significant change
- RECEIVER OPERATOR CHARACTERISTIC CURVE: Uses variation of true/false positives/negatives depending on cut off threshold in log.
 regression model to decide on most accurate model:
 - Initial model had a cut off of 0.5 approx. 45% accurate
 - Revised model had a cut off of 0.35 approx. 70% accurate
- 4. PROPOSED NEW TECHNIQUES:
 - MULTIVARIATE LOGISTIC REGRESSION: Will predict size of change on predefined scale
 - MACHINE LEARNING: More accurate method of identifying at what point a 'significant change' is occurring



White Rose Projects

- FSA are part of an ESRC White Rose Network on the topic of food safety and big data
- This network will be a collaboration between the universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York, with the FSA and Pulsar as external partners.

– Pulsar is a private sector social media platform

• The network will provide three three-year PhD studentships across a number of disciplines with the aim of harnessing the power of big data to produce new insights into food safety.



Project 1: Using Visual Social Media Data to Better Understand Food Safety Cultures

- A vast amount of social media image data is posted online every day
- The aim is to explore how these images can be used to understand food behaviours
- The project will investigate whether image data can be used to quantify behavioural change.
- Patterns in the sharing of images on social media will also be explored



Project 2: Spatial Data Analytics for Food Safety

- Existing approaches have yet to exploit fully the power of spatial analysis in the consumption of food
- The introduction of more sophisticated representations of restaurant catchments and the interaction patterns of their customers could allow more subtle and powerful representations of risk profiles.
- This could provide a valuable means to prioritise scarce resources for health inspection, and could provide a means for rapid and early detection of problems in a specific location of neighbourhood.

Project 3: Food Fraud and Big Social Data

- Understanding consumers' opinions and behaviours is a key element of putting consumers at the heart of the FSA's work.
- Existing literature investigates consumer behaviour by survey-based and/or focus group approaches, in which the generality of outcomes may depend on the robustness of the sampling approach
- Research has shown that Twitter post monitoring is an effective tool to track the public response to a particular event. This project will explore the potential for such data to generate insights into the public reaction to adulteration and contamination events.