Geoff Evatt

School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester



...provides an organisation with an objective methodology that helps optimise their corporate decision making.

...provides an organisation with an objective methodology that helps optimise their corporate decision making.

> When is it best for a University to go private

...provides an organisation with an objective methodology that helps optimise their corporate decision making.

When is it best for a University to go privateWhen should a firm pause their sponsorship of FIFA

...provides an organisation with an objective methodology that helps optimise their corporate decision making.

When is it best for a University to go private
When should a firm pause their sponsorship of FIFA
When should Greece leave the Eurozone

...provides an organisation with an objective methodology that helps optimise their corporate decision making.

When is it best for a University to go private??????????
When should a firm pause their sponsorship of FIFA????????
When should Greece leave the Eurozone????????????

Real Options Analysis

- > Seeks to optimise investment decisions under uncertainty,
- > Started as a independent subject in the late 70's,
- ➢ Is at the intersection between Financial Mathematics and Operations Research,
- The subject has primarily considered irreversible decision making (i.e. lost capital investment), but it can be expanded to consider continuously variable decisions.

Real Options Analysis

- > Seeks to optimise investment decisions under uncertainty,
- > Started as a independent subject in the late 70's,
- ➢ Is at the intersection between Financial Mathematics and Operations Research,
- The subject has primarily considered irreversible decision making (i.e. lost capital investment), but it can be expanded to consider continuously variable decisions.

➢ Is hardly ever (explicitly) used.....

Example: The Option to Abandon

Q. At what point should a factory operating under sale price uncertainty cease production?

Q. At what point should a factory operating under sale price uncertainty cease production?

When it starts making a loss?

Q. At what point should a factory operating under sale price uncertainty cease production?

When it starts making a loss?

Or, when its expected value falls below the salvage value?





$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

 $dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$

$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$

$$\sup_{v \in U} \{LV(x) + g(x, v) - \hat{r}V(x)\} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H$$
$$\lim_{x \to y} V(x) = -h(y, v) \quad \text{for} \quad y \in \partial H$$
$$L \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$

$$dX_t^u = b(X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, u_t)dB_t,$$



$$w^{u}(x) = E_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{v} e^{-\hat{r}z} g(X_{z}, u_{z}) dz + e^{-\hat{r}v} h(X_{v}) \right]$$





Uncertain future sale price: $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB$

Profit function: $g(S_t, t) = qS_t - \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \mu S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV + S - \epsilon &= 0. \\ V &= 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t = T, \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad S \to \infty, \\ V &= -C \quad \text{on} \quad S = S^*, \end{aligned}$$
Optimality condition
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S = S^*, \end{aligned}$$









- The firm can operate in one of three states: normal, expanded, or abandoned.
- > The firm has to pay a sunk cost for changing state.

Commodity price uncertainty: $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB$, $dQ_t = -qdt$.

Profit function: $g_i(S, t, Q) = q_i G(Q) S - \varepsilon_i$.











$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} - \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \tau} - q_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial Q} + \kappa(\mu - S) \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S} - rV_1 + q_1 GS - \varepsilon_1 = 0,$$

 $V_1 = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \min\{\tau, Q\} = 0,$ $V_1 = -C_{1a} - K \quad \text{when} \quad S = S_{1a}^*$ $V_1 = V_2 - C_e \quad \text{on} \quad S = S_e^*,$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S \frac{\partial^2 V_2}{\partial S^2} - \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial \tau} - q_2 \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial Q} + \kappa(\mu - S) \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial S} - rV_2 + q_2 GS - \varepsilon_2 = 0,$$

$$V_2 = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \min\{\tau, Q\} = 0,$$

$$V_2 = -C_{2a} - K \quad \text{when} \quad S = S_{2a}^*$$

$$V_2 \sim S \quad \text{as} \quad S \to \infty.$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S = \{S_e^*, S_{1a}^*, S_{2a}^*\}.$$





Real Options Limitations

Discounting dilemmas (mainly an academic thing...)

Real Options Limitations

Discounting dilemmas (mainly an academic thing...)

> The uncertainties won't always be nicely behaved!

Real Options Limitations

Discounting dilemmas (mainly an academic thing...)

> The uncertainties won't always be nicely behaved!

> Neglects liquidity issues (i.e. assumes that making a loss is fine)

Real Options Limitations (Liquidity)



Future Direction (my unbiased take...)

 Regulators can use Real Options Analysis to calculate expectations beyond just valuation, such as event probabilities.
 In knowing a firm's strategy, they can then construct ways to influence them.



Future Direction (my unbiased take...)

 Regulators can use Real Options Analysis to calculate expectations beyond just valuation, such as event probabilities.
 In knowing a firm's strategy, they can then construct ways to influence them.





Future Direction (Basel III)





 $\frac{E_t}{L_t} \ge \omega,$

Google 'Evatt, financial regulation, SSRN' for our working paper on this.



Summary

Real Options Analysis concerns the valuation of a organisation's flexible decision making.

> It helps give an idea of how to manage future uncertainties.

> If in doubt, wait (but not forever...).

> The future is regulation?



Recommended reading: Dixit & Pindyke, Investment under Uncertainty, Princeton University Press

Used here:

Evatt *et al.*, Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 2010, Evatt *et al.*, Resources Policy, 2012, Evatt *et al.*, European Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2014, Evatt *et al.*, IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 2014.



Thank you

Recommended reading: Dixit & Pindyke, Investment under Uncertainty, Princeton University Press

Used here:

Evatt *et al.*, Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 2010, Evatt *et al.*, Resources Policy, 2012, Evatt *et al.*, European Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2014, Evatt *et al.*, IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 2014.

