Variational problems on graphs and their continuum limits

Dejan Slepčev Carnegie Mellon University

Data-Rich Phenomena Modelling, Analysing & Simulation Using Partial Differential Equations 14-16 December 2015, Cambridge

- Xavier Bresson (EPFL)
- James von Brecht (California State Long Beach)
- Nicolás García Trillos (Brown University)
- Thomas Laurent (LMU)



• Partition the data into meaningful groups.

Graph-Based Clustering



- Determine a similarity measure between images
- Construct a graph based on the similarity measure.

Graph-Based Clustering



- Determine a similarity measure between images
- Construct a graph based on the similarity measure.
- Partition the graph

From point clouds to graphs

• Let $V = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^d :



• Connect nearby vertices: Edge weights $W_{i,j}$.

From point clouds to graphs

• Let $V = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^d :



• Connect nearby vertices: Edge weights *W_{i,j}*.

From point clouds to graphs

• Let $V = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^d :



• Connect nearby vertices: Edge weights $W_{i,j}$.

Graph cut

• Let $V = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^d :



- Connect nearby vertices: Edge weights W_{i,j}
- Graph Cut: $A \subset V$.

$$Cut(A, A^c) = \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in A^c} W_{i,j}.$$

• Let $V = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^d :



- Connect nearby vertices: Edge weights W_{i,i}
- Minimize: $A \subset V$.

$$Cut(A, A^c) = \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in A^c} W_{i,j}.$$



• Graph Cut: $A \subset V$.

$$Cut(A, A^c) = \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in A^c} W_{i,j}.$$

• Cheeger Cut: Minimize

$$\mathit{GC}(\mathit{A}) = rac{\mathit{Cut}(\mathit{A}, \mathit{A}^c)}{\min\{|\mathit{A}|, |\mathit{A}^c|\}}.$$

Graph Constructions

proximity based graphs



• kNN graphs: Connect each vertex with its k nearest neighbors

Task

Minimize

$$GC(A) = \frac{\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in A^c} W_{i,j}}{\min\{|A|, |A^c|\}}$$



Task

Minimize

$$GC(A) = \frac{\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in A^c} W_{i,j}}{\min\{|A|, |A^c|\}}$$



Algorithm of Bresson, Laurent, Uminsky and von Brecht (2013).

Graph Total Variation

Graph total variation

For a function $u: V \to \mathbb{R}$

$$GTV_n(u) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} W_{i,j} \left| u_i - u_j \right|$$

where $u_i = u(X_i)$.

Note that for a set of vertices $A \subset V$

$$GTV_n(\chi_A) = \frac{1}{n^2}Cut(A, A^c)$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of A

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(X_i) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } x_i \in \mathcal{A} \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Relaxed Problem

$$GTV_n(u) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} W_{i,j} |u_i - u_j|.$$

Balance term

$$B_n(u) = \frac{1}{n} \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i - c|$$

$$B_n(\chi_A) = \frac{1}{n} \min\{|A|, |A^c|\}.$$

Relaxed problem

Minimize

$$GC_n(u) = rac{GTV_n(u)}{B_n(u)}$$

Theorem

Relaxation is exact: There exists a set of vertices A_n such that $u_n = \chi_{A_n}$ minimizes GC_n .

Ground Truth Assumption

Assume points X_1, X_2, \ldots , are drawn i.i.d out of measure $d\nu = \rho dx$



Total variation in continuum setting

• $d\nu = \rho dx$ probability measure, supp $(\nu) = D$, $0 < \lambda \le \rho \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$ on D.

Weighted relative perimeter

$$P(A; D,
ho^2) = \int_{D \cap \partial A}
ho^2 dS_{d-1}$$

Weighted TV

Given $A \subset D$

$$TV(u,\rho^2) = \int_D |\nabla u| \rho^2 dx$$



Total variation in continuum setting

• $d\nu = \rho dx$ probability measure, supp $(\nu) = D$, $0 < \lambda \le \rho \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$ on D.

Weighted relative perimeter

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{D}, \rho^2) = \int_{\mathcal{D}\cap\partial\mathcal{A}} \rho^2 dS_{d-1} = TV(\chi_{\mathcal{A}}, \rho^2)$$

Weighted TV

Given $A \subset D$

$$TV(u,
ho^2) = \sup\left\{\int_D u \operatorname{div}(\phi) dx : |\phi| \le
ho^2 , \phi \in C^\infty_c(D, \mathbb{R}^d)
ight\}$$



Clustering in continuum setting

- ν probability measure with compact support supp $(\nu) = D$.
- ν has continuous on *D* density ρ and $0 < \lambda \le \rho \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$ on *D*.

Weighted TV

$$\mathsf{TV}(u,
ho^2) = \sup\left\{\int_D u\, {
m div}(\phi) {dx} \; : \; |\phi| \leq
ho^2 \; , \; \phi \in C^\infty_c(D,\mathbb{R}^d)
ight\}$$

Weighted relative perimeter

Given
$$A \subset D$$
 $P(A; D, \rho^2) = TV(\chi_A, \rho^2)$

Balance term

$$B(A) = \min\{|A|, 1 - |A|\}$$
 where $|A| = \nu(A)$.

Weighted Cheeger Cut: Minimize

$$C(A) = \frac{P(A; D, \rho^2)}{B(A)}$$

Relaxation in continuum setting

- ν probability measure with compact support supp $(\nu) = D$.
- ν has continuous on *D* density ρ and $0 < \lambda \le \rho \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$ on *D*.

Weighted TV

$$TV(u, \rho^2) = \sup\left\{\int_D u \operatorname{div}(\phi) dx : |\phi| \le \rho^2 \ , \ \phi \in C^\infty_c(D, \mathbb{R}^d)
ight\}$$

Balance term

$$B(u) = \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x) dx$$

Minimize

$$C(u) = \frac{TV(u, \rho^2)}{B(u)}$$

Clustering in continuum setting

Minimize

$$C(u) = \frac{TV(u, \rho^2)}{B(u)}$$



Consistency of clustering

Do the minimizers of

$$GC_n(u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sum_{i,j} W_{i,j} |u_i - u_j|}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i - c|}$$

converge as the number of data points $n o \infty$ to a minimizer of

$$C(u) = \frac{TV(u,\rho^2)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x)dx}$$



Localizing the kernel as $n \to \infty$

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d}} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Consistency of clustering II

Do the minimizers of

$$GC_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n}(X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i^n - c|}$$

converge as the number of data points $n \to \infty$ to a minimizer of

$$C(u) = \frac{TV(u, \rho^2)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x) dx}$$
?

Question 1: For what scaling of $\varepsilon(n)$ can this hold? **Question 2:** What is the topology for which $u^n \longrightarrow u$?





 $n = 120, \varepsilon = 0.30$

 $n = 120, \varepsilon = 0.40$

 $n = 120, \varepsilon = 0.20$



$$n = 500, \varepsilon = 0.14$$

$$n = 500, \varepsilon = 0.2$$

Consistency results in machine learning

- Arias Castro, Pelletier, and Pudlo 2012 partial results on the problem
- Pollard 1981 k -means
- Hartigan 1981 single linkage
- Belkin and Niyogi 2006 Laplacian eigenmaps
- von Luxburg, Belkin, and Bousquet 2004, 2008 spectral embedding

What was known

Consistency results in machine learning

- Arias Castro, Pelletier, and Pudlo 2012 partial results on the problem
- Pollard 1981 k -means
- Hartigan 1981 single linkage
- Belkin and Niyogi 2006 Laplacian eigenmaps
- von Luxburg, Belkin, and Bousquet 2004, 2008 spectral embedding

Calculus of Variations

Discrete to continuum for functionals on grids: *Braides 2010, Braides and Yip 2012, Chambolle, Giacomini and Lussardi 2012, Gobbino and Mora 2001, Van Gennip and Bertozzi 2014*

Γ-Convergence

$$(Y, d_Y)$$
 - metric space, $F_n : Y \to [0, \infty]$

Definition

The sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ Γ -converges (w.r.t d_Y) to $F: Y \to [0,\infty]$ if: Liminf inequality: For every $y \in Y$ and whenever $y_n \to y$

 $\liminf_{n\to\infty}F_n(y_n)\geq F(y),$

Limsup inequality: For every $y \in Y$ there exists $y_n \to y$ such that

 $\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(y_n) \leq F(y).$

Definition (Compactness property)

$$\begin{split} \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ satisfies the compactness property if} \\ \{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ bounded and} \\ \{F_n(y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ bounded} \end{split} \bigg\} \Longrightarrow \{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ has convergent subsequence} \end{split}$$

Proposition: Convergence of minimizers

Γ-convergence and Compactness imply: If y_n is a minimizer of F_n and $\{y_n\}_{n \in N}$ is bounded in *Y* then along a subsequence

 $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$

and

y is a minimizer of F.

In particular, if *F* has a unique minimizer, then a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the unique minimizer of *F*.

Consistency of clustering III

Show that

$$GC_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n}(X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n|}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i^n - c|}$$

Γ-converge as the number of data points $n \to \infty$, and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ at certain rate to

$$F(u) = \frac{\sigma TV(u, \rho^2)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x) dx}$$

and show that compactness property holds.

Questions

- For what scaling of $\varepsilon(n)$ can this hold?
- 2 What is the topology for $u^n \longrightarrow u$?

Consistency of graph total variation

Show that

$$GTV_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n n^2} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n}(X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n|$$

Γ-converge to $\sigma TV(u, \rho^2)$, as the number of data points $n \to \infty$, and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ at certain rate and show that compactness property holds.

Questions

- For what scaling of $\varepsilon(n)$ can this hold?
- **2** What is the topology for $u^n \longrightarrow u$?

Topology

Consider domain *D* and $V_n = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ random i.i.d points.



• How to compare $u_n : V_n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $u : D \to \mathbb{R}$ in a way consistent with L^1 topology?

Note that $u \in L^1(\nu)$ and $u_n \in L^1(\nu_n)$, where $\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$.

Topology

Consider domain *D* and $V_n = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ random i.i.d points.



• How to compare $u_n \in L^1(\nu_n)$ and $u \in L^1(D)$ in a way consistent with L^1 topology?

An idea: Divide the domain *D* into *n* sets of the same ν measure and to each piece associate a point X_i . That is, consider a map $T_n : D \to D$ such that $T_{\#}\nu = \nu_n$.



Divide the domain *D* into *n* pieces and to each piece associate a point X_i . That is, consider a map $T_n : D \to D$ such that $T_{n\sharp}\nu = \nu_n$.



To compare $u \in L^1(\nu)$ and $u_n \in L^1(\nu_n)$ we compare $u_n \circ T_n$ and u in $L^1(\nu)$.

A different partition:



A different partition:



Topology

Consider domain *D* and $V_n = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ random i.i.d points.



• Let T_n be a transportation (i.e. measure preserving) map from ν to ν_n

Topology

For
$$u \in L^1(\nu)$$
 and $u_n \in L^1(\nu_n)$
$$d((\nu, u), (\nu_n, u_n)) = \inf_{T_n \notin \nu = \nu_n} \int_D |u_n(T_n(x)) - u(x)| + |T_n(x) - x|\rho(x)dx$$

where

$$T_{n\sharp}\nu=\nu_n$$

means that for all $A \subset D$ Borel,

$$\nu(T_n^{-1}(A)) = \nu_n(A).$$

TL¹ Space

Definition

$$TL^{1} = \{ (\nu, f) : \nu \in \mathcal{P}(D), f \in L^{1}(\nu) \}$$
$$d_{TL^{1}}((\nu, f), (\sigma, g)) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\nu, \sigma)} \int_{D \times D} |y - x| + |g(y) - f(x)| d\pi(x, y).$$

where

$$\Pi(\nu,\sigma) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(D \times D) : \pi(A \times D) = \nu(A), \ \pi(D \times A) = \sigma(A)\}.$$

If $T_{\sharp}\nu = \sigma$ then $\pi = (I \times T)_{\sharp}\nu \in \Pi(\nu, \sigma)$ and the integral becomes $\int |T(x) - x| + |g(T(x)) - f(x)|d\nu(x)$

Lemma

 (TL^1, d_{TL^1}) is a metric space.

*TL*¹ convergence

•
$$(\nu, f_n) \xrightarrow{TL^1} (\nu, f)$$
 iff $f_n \xrightarrow{L^1(\nu)} f$

- $(\nu_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{TL^1} (\nu, f)$ iff the measures $(I \times f_n)_{\sharp} \nu_n$ weakly converge to $(I \times f)_{\sharp} \nu$. That is if graphs, considered as measures converge weakly.
- The space TL^1 is not complete. Its completion are the probability measures on the product space $D \times \mathbb{R}$.

If $(\nu_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{TL^1} (\nu, f)$ then there exists a sequence of transportation plans ν_n such that

(1)
$$\int_{D\times D} |x-y| d\pi_n(x,y) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

We call a sequence of transportation plans $\pi_n \in \Pi(\nu_n, \nu)$ stagnating if it satisfies (1).

Stagnating sequence: $\int_{D \times D} |x - y| d\pi_n(x, y) \longrightarrow 0$ TFAE:

$$(\nu_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{TL^1} (\nu, f) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

2 ν_n → ν and there exists a stagnating sequence of transportation plans {π_n}_{n∈ℕ} for which

(2)
$$\iint_{D\times D} |f(x) - f_n(y)| \, d\pi_n(x,y) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

3 $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ and **for every** stagnating sequence of transportation plans π_n , (2) holds.

Formally $TL^{1}(D)$ is a fiber bundle over $\mathcal{P}(D)$.





$$GTV_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n n^2} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n}(X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n|$$

Γ-convergence of Total Variation (García Trillos and S.)

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{3/4}}{n^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d = 2,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1/d}}{n^{1/d}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d \ge 3.$$

Then, GTV_{n,ε_n} Γ -converge to $\sigma TV(\cdot, \rho^2)$ as $n \to \infty$ in the TL^1 sense, where σ depends explicitly on η .

Γ-convergence of Perimeter

The conclusions hold when all of the functionals are restricted to characteristic functions of sets. That is, the graph perimeters Γ -converge to the continuum perimeter.

Compactness

With the same conditions on ε_n as before, if

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|u_n\|_{L^1(D,\nu_n)}<\infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}GTV_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u_n)<\infty,$$

then $\{u_n\}_{n \in N}$ is TL^1 -precompact.

Consistency of Cheeger Cuts

Recall:

$$GC_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n} (X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n|}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i^n - c|}$$
$$C(u) = \frac{\sigma TV(u, \rho^2)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x) dx}$$



Consistency of Cheeger Cuts

Recall:

$$GC_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \sum_{i,j} \eta_{\varepsilon_n}(X_i - X_j) |u_i^n - u_j^n|}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_i |u_i^n - c|}$$
$$C(u) = \frac{\sigma TV(u, \rho^2)}{\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_D |u(x) - c|\rho(x) dx}$$

Consistency of Cheeger Cuts (von Brecht, García Trillos, Laurent, S.) For the same conditions on ε_n as before, with probability one:

$$GC_{n,\varepsilon_n} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} C$$
 w.r.t. TL^1 metric.

Moreover, for any sequence of sets $E_n \subseteq \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of almost minimizers of the Cheeger energy, every subsequence has a convergent subsequence (in the TL^1 sense) to a minimizer of the Cheeger energy on the domain D.

Hint about the proof

Assume that $u_n \xrightarrow{TL^1} u$ as $n \to \infty$. There exists $T_{n\sharp}\nu = \nu_n$ stagnating $(\int |x - T_n(x)| d\nu(x) \to 0)$.

$$GTV_{n,\varepsilon_n}(u^n) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{D \times D} \eta_{\varepsilon_n} (\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) |u_n(\tilde{x}) - u_n(\tilde{y})| d\nu_n(\tilde{x}) d\nu_n(\tilde{y})$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{D \times D} \eta_{\varepsilon_n} (T_n(x) - T_n(y)) |u_n \circ T_n(x) - u_n \circ T_n(y)| \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy$$

Define
$$TV_{\varepsilon}(u; \rho) := rac{1}{arepsilon} \int_{D imes D} \eta_{arepsilon}(x-y) |u(x) - u(y)|
ho(x)
ho(y) dx dy.$$

- $TV_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow} TV(\cdot, \rho^2)$ wrt $L^1(\nu_0)$ metric. (Alberti-Bellettini, Chambolle-Giacomini-Lussardi, Savin-Valdinocci, Ponce)
- If |T_n(x) − x| ≪ ε_n then one may be able to compare GTV_{n,ε_n}(uⁿ) and TV_ε(u_n ∘ T_n; ρ).

Scaling for ε_n

Optimal matchings in dimension $d \ge 3$: Ajtai-Komlós-Tusnády (1983), Yukich and Shor (1991), Garcia Trillos and S. (2014)



Theorem

There are constants c > 0 and C > 0 (only depending on d) such that with probability one we can find a sequence of transportation maps $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from ν_0 to ν_n ($T_{n \#}\nu_0 = \nu_n$) and such that:

$$c \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{1/d} \| Id - T_n \|_{\infty}}{(\log n)^{1/d}} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{1/d} \| Id - T_n \|_{\infty}}{(\log n)^{1/d}} \leq C.$$

Scaling for ε_n

Optimal matchings in dimension $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{2}$: Leighton and Shor (1986), new proof by Talagrand (2005), Garcia Trillos and S. (2014)



Theorem

There are constants c > 0 and C > 0 such that with probability one we can find a sequence of transportation maps $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from ν_0 to ν_n $(T_{n\#}\nu_0 = \nu_n)$ and such that:

(3)
$$c \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{1/2} \| Id - T_n \|_{\infty}}{(\log n)^{3/4}} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{1/2} \| Id - T_n \|_{\infty}}{(\log n)^{3/4}} \leq C.$$

• We require

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{3/4}}{n^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d = 2,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1/d}}{n^{1/d}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d \ge 3.$$

- Note that for $d \ge 3$ this means that typical degree $\gg \log(n)$.
- Does convergence hold if fewer than log(n) neighbors are connected to?

• We require

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{3/4}}{n^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d = 2,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1/d}}{n^{1/d}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = 0 \text{ if } d \ge 3.$$

- Note that for $d \ge 3$ this means that typical degree $\gg \log(n)$.
- Does convergence hold if fewer than log(n) neighbors are connected to?

No. There exists c > 0 such that $\varepsilon_n < c \frac{\log(n)^{1/d}}{n^{1/d}}$ then with probability one the random geometric graph is asymptotically disconnected. *Penrose (1999); Gupta and Kumar (1999); Goel,Rai and Krishnamachari (2004).*

This implies that for large enough *n*, min $GC_{n,\varepsilon_n} = 0$. While inf C > 0.

So for $d \ge 3$ the condition is optimal in terms of scaling.